


29
C
6-2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



In re Patent Application of Mark O'CONNOR	
U.S. Patent Application No. 09/937,639	Docket No.: 146381.00001
Filed: September 25, 2001	
For: TEXT PROCESSING AND DISPLAY METHODS	

RECEIVED

JUN 04 2002

Technology Center 2600

Box Patent Application
Hon. Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Listed on the accompanying Form PTO-1449 are documents that may be considered material to the examination of this application, in compliance with the duty of disclosure requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56, 1.97 and 1.98.

Applicants reserve the right to establish the patentability of the claimed invention over any of the information provided herewith, and/or to prove that this information may not be prior art, and/or to prove that this information may not be enabling for the teachings purportedly offered.

This statement should not be construed as a representation that an exhaustive search has been made, or that there does not exist information more material to the examination of the present patent application. The Examiner is specifically requested not to rely solely on the material submitted herewith.

1. ☒ This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed within three months of the U.S. filing date OR before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits. No certification or fee is required.
2. ☐ The Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date AND after the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits, but before the mailing date of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance.
 - a) ☐ I hereby certify that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office

in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).

b) ☐ I hereby certify that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).

c) ☐ Attached is our check no. _____ in the amount of \$_____ in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p).

3. ☐ This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date and after the mailing of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance, but before payment of the Issue Fee. It is hereby requested that the Information Disclosure Statement be considered. Attached is our check no. _____ in the amount of \$_____ in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i)(1).

a) ☐ I hereby certify that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).

b) ☐ I hereby certify that no item of information on this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).

4. ☐ Relevance of the non-English language document(s) is discussed in the present specification.

5. ☐ The document(s) was/were cited in a corresponding foreign application. An English language version of the foreign search report is attached for the Examiner's information.

6. ☐ A concise explanation of the relevance of the non-English language document(s) appears below:


7. ☐ The Examiner's attention is directed to co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. _____, filed _____, which is directed to related technical subject matter. The identification of this U.S. Patent Application is not to be construed as a waiver of secrecy as to that application now or upon issuance of the present application as a patent. The Examiner is respectfully requested to consider the cited application and the art cited therein during examination.
8. ☐ Copies of the documents were cited by or submitted to the Office in Application No. _____, filed _____, which is relied upon for an earlier filing date under 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d).

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner initial and return a copy of the enclosed PTO-1449, and to indicate in the official file wrapper of this patent application that the documents have been considered.

The patents are referred to in the instant specification. The other 14 U.S. patents referred to in the specification not listed on the PTO-1449 deal with methods for keyboard entry of logographs, and are believed to be no more relevant than the Huang patent cited above.

If Applicant has overlooked the payment of any fees with regard to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-1682.

Respectfully submitted,




David W. Woodward
Reg. No. 35,020
Attorney for Applicant


POWELL, GOLDSTEIN, FRAZER & MURPHY LLP
P.O. Box 97233
Washington, D.C. 20090-7233
(202) 624-3913

Dated: January 31, 2002
DWW/yea
Enclosures

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	Docket No. 146381.00001	Application No. 09/937,639
	Applicant(s): Mark O'CONNOR	
	Filing Date: September 25, 2001	Group Art Unit: N/A

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	REF	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE (IF APPROPRIATE)
		4,007,548	2/15/1977	Cytanovich			
		4,121,051	10/17/1978	Place			
		4,443,199	4/17/1984	Sakai			
		4,500,872	2/19/1985	Huang			
		4,609,357	9/02/1986	Clegg			
		4,650,423	3/17/1987	Sprague et al.			
		4,679,951	7/14/1987	King et al.			
		4,696,492	9/29/1987	Hardin			
		4,713,008	12/15/1987	Stocker et al.			
		4,777,600	10/11/1988	Saito et al.			
		5,366,377	11/22/1994	Miller			
		5,529,496	6/25/1996	Barrett			
		5,541,836	7/30/1996	Church et al.			
		5,689,616	11/18/1997	Li			
		5,737,725	4/07/1998	Case			
	5,794,050	8/11/1998	Dahlgren et al.				



RECEIVED
JUN 04 2002
Technology Center 2600

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	REF	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION	
							YES	NO

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP Section 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.	
Form PTO-A820 (also form PTO-1449)	SHEET 1 OF 1